

Case Report

Malignant Hemangioendothelioma of Occipital Bone

Amit Agrawal^{1*}, Arvind Bhake², Pankaj Banode³, Brij Raj Singh³

¹Department of Neurosurgery, ²Department of Pathology, ³Department of Radiology, Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences, Sawangi (Meghe), Wardha 442004, India

DOI: 10.1007/s11670-012-0161-4

©Chinese Anti-Cancer Association and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

ABSTRACT

Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma is a rare vascular tumor of bone, and rarely these lesions can present as unique and extremely aggressive tumor. We report a case of highly aggressive epithelioid hemangioendothelioma and discuss the imaging findings. CT brain plain study revealed a poorly-defined, mixed density expansile and lytic lesion involving the occipital bone with extension to the left side with poorly defined trabecula formation. There was significant but irregular enhancement after intravenous administration of contrast material and also marked bone destruction. Microscopic examination of the fine needle aspiration cytology showed a tumor composed of vascular channels lined by plump endothelial cells, which had enlarged hyperchromatic nuclei. In view of the extensive infiltration the patient was submitted for the radiotherapy.

Key words: Hemangioendothelioma; Bone tumor; Occipital bone

INTRODUCTION

Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma (EHE) is a rare vascular tumor of bone that constitutes less than 1% of primary malignant skeletal neoplasm^[1,2]. These lesions can behave like a benign or malignant tumor^[3], and rarely these lesions can present as unique and extremely aggressive tumor^[4]. We report a case of highly aggressive EHE and discuss the imaging findings.

CASE REPORT

A 23-year-old female was noted to have a small bony prominence over occipital region for 2–3 years. She noticed recent progressive increase in the size of the lesion over last 2 months associated with pain. She delivered a baby recently and the increase in the size of the swelling was associated with delivery. The lesion was firm and non-pulsatile (Figure 1). No similar lesions were present in other sites. No lymph nodes were palpable. CT brain plain study revealed a poorly-defined, mixed-density, expansile and lytic lesion involving the occipital bone with extension to the left side with poorly defined trabecula formation (Figure 2A). There was

significant but irregular enhancement after intravenous administration of contrast material and also marked bone destruction (Figures 2B, 2C, and 3). Microscopic examination of the fine needle aspiration cytology showed a tumor composed of vascular channels lined by plump endothelial cells, which had enlarged hyperchromatic nuclei (Figure 4). On the basis of these combined findings, a diagnosis of grade II hemangioendothelioma was made. In view of the extensive infiltration, the patient was submitted for the radiotherapy.



Figure 1. Clinical photograph showing large lesion with scab and previous incision.

Received 2012–02–13; Accepted 2012–04–16

*Corresponding author.

E-mail: dramitagrawal@gmail.com; dramit_in@yahoo.com




Figure 2. Plain axial CT scan images. **A:** Mixed-density, expansile and lytic lesion of the occipital bone; **B:** irregularly enhanced lesion after contrast administration; **C:** extensive destruction of the occipital bone with a poorly defined, coarse trabecular pattern and irregular border.




Figure 3. Contrast enhanced sagittal reconstruction CT scan images showing the expansile and lytic occipital bone lesion.

DISCUSSION

On CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), EHE is characterized by a well-demarcated, osteolytic and expansile lesion involving the skull bone, which has the sclerotic edges specks of calcification^[4-7] giving rise to a honeycomb configuration with trabeculation^[7,8]. Although the imaging pattern of




Figure 4. Photomicrograph shows proliferation of epithelioid-like endothelial cells having large hyperchromatic nuclei (HE staining, $\times 40$).

malignant EHE may be similar to that of a benign lesion^[9], Unni, et al. have described a positive correlation between the radiographic picture and the histological grade^[10]. They found that low-grade tumors will show sharply demarcated margins and some bony trabeculae, whereas high-grade tumors will have indistinct and irregular margins^[10]. Depending on the biologic behavior and microscopic features, EHE can be categorized as between a hemangioma and a conventional angiosarcoma^[1,4,11]. Lack of cytological atypia and sparse mitosis is associated with favourable prognosis and the lesion can be cured by complete wide-resection^[6]. The histopathological features considered suggestive of more aggressive clinical behavior include a mitotic rate more than one per 10 high-power fields, cellular atypia, focal necrosis, and increase in proportion of the spindle cells^[1,4,11]. The treatment choice for hemangioendothelioma has been surgical resection, combined with radiation, especially in high-grade lesions^[8,12]. Because of their extensive vascularity, EHE lesions can lead to substantial intraoperative bleeding with resultant mortality^[13]. Radiation therapy has been used alone when surgery was not feasible^[14]. Chemotherapy currently has no significant role in the treatment^[14]. The prognosis of EHE has not been well defined despite of the favorable outcome in the majority of cases^[5,6,11,15]. It has been described that malignant hemangioendotheliomas are capable of metastasis and can lead to death^[9,16].

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No Potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

REFERENCES

1. Enzinger FM, Weiss SW. Hemangioendothelioma, in *Soft Tissue tumors*. 3rd Ed. St Louis Mosby 1995; 223–6.

2. Tayeb T, Bouzaïene M. Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma mimicking an occipital artery aneurysm. *Rev Stomatol Chir Maxillofac*(in French) 2007; 108:451–4.
3. Cansiz H, Yener M, Dervisoglu S, et al. Hemangio- endothelioma of the frontal bone in a child. *J Craniofac Surg* 2003; 14:724–8.
4. Fernandes AL, Ratilal B, Mafra M, et al. Aggressive intracranial and extra-cranial epithelioid hemangioendothelioma: a case report and review of the literature. *Neuropathology* 2006; 6:201–5.
5. Rushing EJ, White JA, D'Alise MD, et al. Primary epithelioid hemangioendothelioma of the clivus. *Clin Neuropathol* 1998; 17:110–4.
6. Aditya GS, Santosh V, Yasha TC, et al. Epithelioid and retiform hemangioendothelioma of the skull bone. Report of four cases. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol* 2003; 46:645–9.
7. Goldstein W, Bowen B, Balkony T. Malignant hemangio- endothelioma of the temporal bone masquerading as glomus tympanicum. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 1999; 103:156–9.
8. Watanabe T, Saito N, Shimaguchi H, et al. Primary epithelioid hemangioendothelioma originating in the lower petroclival region: case report. *Surg Neurol* 2003; 59:429–33.
9. Ibarra RA, Kesava P, Hallett KK, et al. Hemangioendothelioma of the temporal bone with radiologic findings resembling hemangioma. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2001; 22:755–8.
10. Unni KK, Ivins JC, Beabout JW, et al. Hemangioma: hemangio- pericytoma and hemangioendothelioma (angiosarcoma) of bone. *Cancer* 1971; 27:1403–14.
11. Fletcher CDM, Unni KK, Mertens F. World Health Organization Classification of tumours. Pathology and genetics of tumours of soft tissue and bone IARC. Press. Lyon, 2002.
12. Welles L, Dorfman H, Valentine E, et al. Low grade malignant hemangioendothelioma of bone: a disease potentially curable with radiotherapy. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 1994; 23:144–8.
13. Salinas-Lara C, Rembao-Bojórquez D, Tena-Suck ML, et al. Sellar-parasellar epithelioid hemangioendothelioma. *J Neurol Sci* (in Turkish). 2006; 23:231–7.
14. Campanacci M, Boriani S, Giunti A. Hemangioendothelioma of bone: A study of 29 cases. *Cancer* 1980; 46:804–14.
15. Baehring JM, Dickey PS, Bannykh SI. Epithelioid hemangioendothelioma of the suprasellar area: a case report and review of the literature. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2004; 128:1289–93.
16. Chi AC, Weathers DR, Folpe AL, et al. Epithelioid hemangio- endothelioma of the oral cavity: report of two cases and review of the literature. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2005; 100:717–24.